UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

STATESBORO DIVISION

WILLIE MCCONNELL,)	
Movant,)	
v.)	Case No. CV616-084 CR604-013
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,))	
)	
Respondent.)	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Over four years ago this Court denied Willie McConnell's first 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion as untimely. Doc. 45, adopted, doc. 47. In this, his second § 2255 motion, doc. 54, he seeks to exploit the new rule announced in Johnson v. United States, ___ U.S. ___, 135 S. Ct. 2551 (2015), made retroactive by Welch v. United States, ___ U.S. ___, 136 S.

¹ That constituted a ruling on the merits. See Robinson v. Cooks, 2016 WL 3450837 at * 4 (M.D. Ala. May 18, 2016), adopted, 2016 WL 3410185 (M.D. Ala. June 16, 2016) ("Although the court dismissed the petition on statute of limitations grounds, such dismissal constitutes an adjudication on the merits for the purposes of invoking the second or successive requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3); see also Jordan v. Secretary, Dep't of Corrections, 485 F.3d 1351, 1353 (11th Cir. 2007) (requiring petitioner to obtain order from appellate court prior to filing second or successive § 2254 petition after first habeas petition was dismissed as untimely).").

 $^{^2}$ All citations are to the criminal docket, and all page numbers are those imprinted by the Court's docketing software.

Ct. 1257 (2016), and triggering a wave of successive § 2255 filings. See In re Fleur, ___ F.3d ___, 2016 WL 3190539 (11th Cir. June 8, 2016), In re Hines, ___ F.3d ___, 2016 WL 3189822 (11th Cir. June 8, 2016), and In re Ricardo Pinder, Jr., ___ F.3d ___, 2016 WL 3081954 at * 1 (11th Cir. June 1, 2016).

Some of those successive-writ movants have succeeded in knocking appellate court doors. See, e.g., In re Hubbard, ____ F.3d ____, 2016 WL 3181417 at *7 (4th Cir. June 8, 2016) ("Because application of Johnson to § 16(b) as incorporated into the Sentencing Guidelines might render the career-offender residual clause that was applicable at the time Hubbard was sentenced unconstitutional, and because the rule in Johnson is substantive with respect to its application to the Sentencing Guidelines and therefore applies retroactively, this Court grants Hubbard's request for authorization to file a successive § 2255 motion.").

Barr, too, must knock on the Eleventh Circuit's door. See In re Williams, ___ F.3d ___, 2016 WL 3460899 (11th Cir. June 24, 2016); In re Colon, No., ___ F.3d ___, 2016 WL 3461009 (11th Cir. June 24, 2016); In re Robinson, ___ F.3d ___, 2016 WL 1583616 (11th Cir. Apr. 19, 2016). Given the time constraints illuminated by the Robinson concurrence,

2016 WL 1583616 at * 2 ("As best I can tell, all the prisoners we turned away may only have until June 26, 2016, to refile applications based on *Johnson*."), the Clerk should be **DIRECTED** to simply transfer his June 17, 2016 signature-filed § 2255 motion (doc. 54 at 12) directly to that court for *nunc pro tunc* filing.³ Upon such transfer, the Probation Office shall file any Presentence Investigation Report under seal.

SO REPORTED AND RECOMMENDED, this <u>5th</u> day of July, 2016.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

FA Fmith

Whenever a civil action is filed in a court as defined in section 610 of this title or an appeal, including a petition for review of administrative action, is noticed for or filed with such a court and that court finds that there is a want of jurisdiction, the court shall, if it is in the interest of justice, transfer such action or appeal to any other such court in which the action or appeal could have been brought at the time it was filed or noticed, and the action or appeal shall proceed as if it had been filed in or noticed for the court to which it is transferred on the date upon which it was actually filed in or noticed for the court from which it is transferred.

28 U.S.C. § 1631, quoted in *Wainwright v. United States*, 2016 WL 3452551 at * 2 n. 3 (S.D. Fla., June 24, 2016); *see also id.* at * 2 n. 2 ("In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1631, the Clerk shall TRANSFER this action to the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit. This Court respectfully requests that the Eleventh Circuit treat Movant's motion as an application for leave to file a second or successive § 2255 motion.").

³ Statutory authority exists for this: